

*** NOT FOR PUBLICATION ***

NOS. 25627 and 25840

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAI'I

ALBA PECA, Plaintiff-Appellant

vs.

BRIGITTE R. RIEDL, Defendant-Appellee

and

JOHN DOES 1-50; JANE DOES 1-50; DOE PARTNERSHIPS; DOE
CORPORATIONS, DOE ENTITIES and DOE GOVERNMENTAL UNITS 1-50,
Defendants

APPEAL FROM THE FIRST CIRCUIT COURT
(CIV. NO. 00-1-1247)

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL

(By: Moon, C.J., Levinson, Nakayama, Acoba, and Duffy, JJ.)

Upon review of the record, it appears that the January 10, 2003 judgment and the April 25, 2003 amended judgment, the Honorable Dexter D. Del Rosario, presiding, purport to be the final judgments in Civil No. 00-1-1247, but the judgments do not, on their face, show finality as to all of the parties' claims inasmuch as the judgments fail to state that the award of \$1,700.00 is an award on the defendant's counterclaim. See Jenkins v. Cades Schutte Fleming & Wright, 76 Hawai'i 115, 119-120, 869 P.2d 1334, 1338-39 (1994) (In a multiple claim or multiple party circuit court case, a judgment that purports to be the final judgment must identify the claims for which the judgment is entered and must, on its face, show finality as to all claims. A statement that declares 'there are no other

***** NOT FOR PUBLICATION *****

outstanding claims' is not a judgment.). Thus, these appeals are premature and we lack jurisdiction. Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that No. 25627 and No. 25840 are dismissed for lack of appellate jurisdiction.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, August 29, 2003.